arXiv:1411.0310v1 [quant-ph] 2 Nov 2014

Entanglement entropy in the Hypercube networks

M. A. Jafarizadeh[a] *, F. Eghbalifam[a] †, S. Nami[a] ‡

[a]Department of Theoretical Physics and Astrophysics, University of Tabriz, Tabriz 51664, Iran.

November 4, 2014

Abstract

We investigate the hypercube networks that their nodes are considered as quantum harmonic oscillators. The entanglement of the ground state can be used to quantify the amount of information each part of a network shares with the rest of the system via quantum fluctuations. Therefore, the Schmidt numbers and entanglement entropy between two special parts of Hypercube network, can be calculated. To this aim, first we use the stratification method to rewrite the adjacency matrix of the network in the stratification basis which is the matrix representation of the angular momentum. Then the entanglement entropy and Schmidt number for special partitions are calculated analytically by using the generalized Schur complement method. Also, we calculate the entanglement entropy between two arbitrary equal subsets (two equal subsets have the

*E-mail:jafarizadeh@tabrizu.ac.ir

†E-mail:F.Egbali@tabrizu.ac.ir

‡E-mail:S.Nami@tabrizu.ac.ir

same number of vertices) in $H(3,2)$ and $H(4,2)$ numerically, and we give the minimum and maximum values of entanglement entropy in these two Hypercube network. Then we can conjecture the minimum and maximum values of entanglement entropy for equal subsets in $H(d,2)$.

1 Introduction

Entanglement plays a crucial role in quantum information processing, including quantum communication [1,2] and quantum computation [3-5]. It is one of the remarkable features that distinguishes quantum mechanics from classical mechanics.

For decades, entanglement has been the focus of much work in the foundations of quantum mechanics, being associated particularly with quantum nonseparability and the violation of Bells inequalities [6]. Since entanglement has become regarded as such an important resource, there is a need for a means of quantifying it. For the case of bipartite entanglement, a recent exhaustive review was written by the Horodecki family [7] and entanglement measures have been reviewed in detail by Virmani and Plenio [8]. One of the operational entanglement criteria is the Schmidt decomposition [9-11]. The Schmidt decomposition is a very good tool to study entanglement of bipartite pure states. The Schmidt number provides an important variable to classify entanglement. The entanglement of a partly entangled pure state can be naturally parametrized by its entropy of entanglement, defined as the von Neumann entropy, or equivalently as the Shannon entropy of the squares of the Schmidt coefficients [9,11]. The situation simplifies if only so called *Gaussian states* of the harmonic oscillator modes are considered [12-17]. The importance of gaussian states is two-fold; firstly, its structural mathematical description makes them much more amenable than any other continuous variable system (Continuous variable systems are those described by canonical conjugated coordinates x and p endowed with infinite dimensional Hilbert spaces). Secondly, its production, manipulation

and detection with current optical technology can be done with a very high degree of accuracy and control. In [18] the authors quantified the amount of information that a single element of a quantum network shares with the rest of the system. They considered a network of quantum harmonic oscillators and analyzed its ground state to compute the entropy of entanglement that vacuum fluctuations creates between single nodes and the rest of the network by using the Von Neumann entropy. In [20], jafarizadeh et al, quantify the entanglement entropy between two parts of the network. To this aim, they compute the vacuum state of bosonic modes harmonically coupled through the specific adjacency matrix of a given network.

In this paper, first we rewrite the adjacency matrix of Hypercube network in the stratification basis, and we show that it is the matrix representation of angular momentum. Then we calculate the Schmidt numbers and entanglement entropy between two subsets of Hypercube network by using the generalized Schur complement method in three kinds of partitioning. Then we calculate the entanglement entropy between two equal subsets in $H(3,2)$ and $H(4,2)$ numerically, and we give the minimum and maximum values of entanglement entropy.

In section II, we give some preliminaries such as definitions related to association schemes, corresponding stratification and The Terwilliger algebra.

In section III, the generalized Schur complement method is used for calculating the Schmidt numbers and entanglement entropy. In this method, we will apply the generalized Schur complement method to the potential matrix in the stratification basis several times to calculate the entanglement entropy between two equal parts in the graph. Therefore, by using this method, we can calculate bipartite entanglement entropy between two equal parts of Hypercube network for different partitions.

In section IV, we separate the vertices of $H(3,2)$ and $H(4,2)$ network into to equal subsets, then calculate the entanglement entropy between all kinds of bisections. Then, we conjecture the maximum and minimum values of entanglement entropy in H(d,2).

2 Preliminaries

In this section we give some preliminaries such as definitions related to association schemes, corresponding stratification.

2.1 The model and Hamiltonian

We consider nodes as identical quantum oscillators, interacting as dictated by the network topology encoded in the Laplacian L. The Laplacian of a network is defined from the Adjacency matrix as $L_{ij} = k_i\delta_{ij} - A_{ij}$, where $k_i = \sum_j A_{ij}$ is the connectivity of node i, i.e., the number of nodes connected to i. The Hamiltonian of the quantum network thus reads:

$$H = \frac{1}{2}(P^T P + X^T(I + 2gL)X) \tag{2-1}$$

here I is the $N \times N$ identity matrix, g is the coupling strength between connected oscillators while $p^T = (p_1, p_2, ..., p_N)$ and $x^T = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_N)$ are the operators corresponding to the momenta and positions of nodes respectively, satisfying the usual commutation relations: $[x, p^T] = i\hbar I$ (we set $\hbar = 1$ in the following) and the matrix $V = I + 2gL$ is the potential matrix. Then the ground state of this Hamiltonian is:

$$\psi(X) = \frac{(det(I + 2gL))^{1/4}}{\pi^{N/4}} exp(-\frac{1}{2}(X^T(I + 2gL)X)) \tag{2-2}$$

Where the $A_g = \frac{(det(I+2gL))^{1/4}}{\pi^{N/4}}$ is the normalization factor for wave function. The elements of the potential matrix in terms of entries of adjacency matrix is

$$V_{ij} = (1 + 2g\kappa_i)\delta_{ij} - 2gA_{ij}$$

2.2 Schmidt decomposition and entanglement entropy

Any bipartite pure state $|\psi\rangle_{AB} \in H = H_A \otimes H_B$ can be decomposed, by choosing an appropriate basis, as

$$|\psi\rangle_{AB} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i |a_i\rangle \otimes |b_i\rangle \tag{2-3}$$

where $1 \leq m \leq min\{dim(H_A); dim(H_B)\}$, and $\alpha_i > 0$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i^2 = 1$. Here $|a_i\rangle$ ($|b_i\rangle$) form a part of an orthonormal basis in H_A (H_B). The positive numbers α_i are called the Schmidt coefficients of $|\psi\rangle_{AB}$ and the number m is called the Schmidt rank of $|\psi\rangle_{AB}$.

Entropy of entanglement is defined as the von Neumann entropy of either ρ_A or ρ_B:

$$E = -Tr\rho_A log_2 \rho_A = Tr\rho_B log_2 \rho_B = -\sum_i \alpha_i^2 log_2 \alpha_i^2 \tag{2-4}$$

2.3 Association scheme

First we recall the definition of association schemes. The reader is referred to Ref.[20], for further information on association schemes.

Definition 2.1 (Symmetric association schemes). Let V be a set of vertices, and let $R_i (i = 0, 1, ..., d)$ be nonempty relations on V (i.e., subset of $V \times V$). Let the following conditions (1), (2), (3) and (4) be satisfied. Then, the relations $\{R_i\}_{0 \leq i \leq d}$ on $V \times V$ satisfying the following conditions

(1) $\{R_i\}_{0 \leq i \leq d}$ is a partition of $V \times V$

(2) $R_0 = (\alpha, \alpha) : \alpha \in V$

(3) $R_i = R_i^t$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$, where $R_i^t = (\beta, \alpha) : (\alpha, \beta) \in R_i$

(4) For $(\alpha, \beta) \in R_k$, the number $p_{ij}^k = |\gamma \in V : (\alpha, \gamma) \in R_i \quad and \quad (\gamma, \beta) \in R_j|$ does not depend on (α, β) but only on i, j and k, define a symmetric association scheme of class d on V which is denoted by $Y = (V, \{R_i\}_{0 \leq i \leq d})$. Furthermore, if we have $p_{ij}^k = p_{ji}^k$ for all $i, j, k = 0, 2, ..., d$, then Y is called commutative.

The number v of the vertices, $|V|$, is called the order of the association scheme and R_i is called i-th relation.

The intersection number p_{ij}^k can be interpreted as the number of vertices which have relation i and j with vertices α and β, respectively provided that $(\alpha, \beta) \in R_k$, and it is the same for all elements of relation R_k. For all integers $i (0 \leq i \leq d)$, set $\kappa_i = p_{ii}^0$ and note that $\kappa_i \neq 0$, since R_i is non-empty. We refer to κ_i as the i-th valency of Y.

Let $Y = (X, \{R_i\}_{0\leq i\leq d})$ be a commutative symmetric association scheme of class d, then the matrices $A_0, A_1, ..., A_d$ defined by

$$(A_i)_{\alpha,\beta} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (\alpha,\beta) \in \mathrm{R_i}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \qquad (\alpha,\beta \in \mathrm{V}) \end{cases} \tag{2-5}$$

are adjacency matrices of Y such that

$$A_i A_j = \sum_{k=0}^{d} p_{ij}^k A_k. \tag{2-6}$$

From (2-6), it is seen that the adjacency matrices $A_0, A_1, ..., A_d$ form a basis for a commutative algebra A known as the Bose-Mesner algebra of Y. This algebra has a second basis $E_0, ..., E_d$ (known as primitive idempotents of Y) so that

$$E_0 = \frac{1}{n}J, \qquad E_i E_j = \delta_{ij} E_i, \qquad \sum_{i=0}^{d} E_i = I. \tag{2-7}$$

where, J is the all-one matrix in A. Let P and Q be the matrices relating the two bases for A:

$$A_i = \sum_{i=0}^{d} P_{ij} E_j, \qquad 0 \leq j \leq d,$$

$$E_i = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{d} Q_{ij} A_j, \qquad 0 \leq j \leq d. \tag{2-8}$$

Then clearly

$$PQ = QP = nI. \tag{2-9}$$

It also follows that

$$A_i E_j = P_{ij} E_j, \tag{2-10}$$

which shows that the P_{ij} (resp. Q_{ij}) is the j-th eigenvalue (resp. the j-th dual eigenvalue) of A_i (resp. E_i) and that the columns of E_j are the corresponding eigenvectors. Thus, m_i =rank(E_i) is the multiplicity of the eigenvalue P_{ij} of A_i (provided that $P_{ij} \neq P_{kj}$ for $k \neq i$). We see that $m_0 = 1, \sum_i m_i = n$, and m_i =trace$E_i = n(E_i)_{jj}$ (indeed, E_i has only eigenvalues 0 and 1, so rank(E_k) equals the sum of the eigenvalues).

Clearly, each non-diagonal (symmetric) relation R_i of an association scheme $Y = (V, \{R_i\}_{0\leq i\leq d})$ can be thought of as the network (V, R_i) on V, where we will call it the underlying network of association scheme Y. In other words, the underlying network $\Gamma = (V, R_1)$ of an association scheme is an undirected connected network, where the set V and R_1 consist of its vertices and edges, respectively. Obviously replacing R_1 with one of the other relations such as R_i, for $i \neq 0, 1$ will also give us an underlying network $\Gamma = (V, R_i)$ (not necessarily a connected network) with the same set of vertices but a new set of edges R_i.

2.4 Stratification

For an underlying network Γ, let $W = \mathcal{C}^n$ (with $n = |V|$) be the vector space over $\mathcal{C}$ consisting of column vectors whose coordinates are indexed by vertex set V of Γ, and whose entries are in $\mathcal{C}$. For all $\beta \in V$, let $|\beta\rangle$ denotes the element of W with a 1 in the β coordinate and 0 in all other coordinates. We observe $\{|\beta\rangle|\beta \in V\}$ is an orthonormal basis for W, but in this basis, W is reducible and can be reduced to irreducible subspaces W_i, $i = 0, 1, ..., d$, i.e.,

$$W = W_0 \oplus W_1 \oplus ... \oplus W_d, \tag{2-11}$$

where, d is diameter of the corresponding association scheme. If we define $\Gamma_i(o) = \{\beta \in V : (o, \beta) \in R_i\}$ for an arbitrary chosen vertex $o \in V$ (called reference vertex), then, the vertex set V can be written as disjoint union of $\Gamma_i(\alpha)$, i.e.,

$$V = \bigcup_{i=0}^{d} \Gamma_i(\alpha). \tag{2-12}$$

In fact, the relation (2-12) stratifies the network into a disjoint union of strata (associate classes) $\Gamma_i(o)$. With each stratum $\Gamma_i(o)$ one can associate a unit vector $|\phi_i\rangle$ in W (called unit vector of i-th stratum) defined by

$$|\phi_i\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa_i}} \sum_{\alpha\in\Gamma_i(o)} |\alpha\rangle, \tag{2-13}$$

where, $|\alpha\rangle$ denotes the eigenket of α-th vertex at the associate class $\Gamma_i(o)$ and $\kappa_i = |\Gamma_i(o)|$ is called the i-th valency of the network ($\kappa_i := p^0_{ii} = |\{\gamma : (o,\gamma) \in R_i\}| = |\Gamma_i(o)|$). For $0 \leq i \leq d$, the unit vectors $|\phi_i\rangle$ of Eq.(2-13) form a basis for irreducible submodule of W with maximal dimension denoted by W_0. Since $\{|\phi_i\rangle\}_{i=0}^d$ becomes a complete orthonormal basis of W_0, we often write

$$W_0 = \sum_{i=0}^{d} \oplus \mathbf{C}|\phi_i\rangle. \tag{2-14}$$

Let A_i be the adjacency matrix of the underlying network Γ. From the action of A_i on reference state $|\phi_0\rangle$ ($|\phi_0\rangle = |o\rangle$, with $o \in V$ as reference vertex), we have

$$A_i|\phi_0\rangle = \sum_{\beta \in \Gamma_i(o)} |\beta\rangle. \tag{2-15}$$

Then by using (2-13) and (2-15), we obtain

$$A_i|\phi_0\rangle = \sqrt{\kappa_i}|\phi_i\rangle. \tag{2-16}$$

3 Entanglement entropy between two parts of a network

In order to calculate the entanglement entropy between two equal parts in the graph (half first strata are in one subset and the other strata are in the second subset), we introduce the following process:

First we want to generalize the Schur complement method. Suppose we have the following matrix which is composed of block matrices.

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} V_{11} & V_{12} & 0 \\ V_{21} & V_{22} & V_{23} \\ 0 & V_{32} & V_{33} \end{pmatrix} \tag{3-17}$$

Then we do the generalized Schur complement transformation. This transformation is:

$$\begin{pmatrix} V_{11} & V_{12} & 0 \\ V_{21} & V_{22} & V_{23} \\ 0 & V_{32} & V_{33} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & V_{23}V_{33}^{-1} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} V_{11} & V_{12} & 0 \\ V_{12}^T & V_{22} - V_{23}V_{33}^{-1}V_{32} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & V_{33} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & V_{33}^{-1}V_{32} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{3-18}$$

In our work, we will apply the generalized Schur complement method to the potential matrix in the stratification basis several times. So in the transformed matrix all of the blocks are scalar. Therefore the potential matrix is transformed to a 2×2 matrix finally.

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{12}^T & a_{22} \end{pmatrix} \tag{3-19}$$

The wave function in this stage is

$$\psi(x,y) = A_g exp(-\frac{1}{2}(x \qquad y) \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{12} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}) \tag{3-20}$$

by rescaling the variables x and y:

$$\widetilde{x} = a_{11}^{1/2} x$$

$$\widetilde{y} = a_{22}^{1/2} y$$

the ground state wave function is transformed to

$$\psi(\widetilde{x},\widetilde{y}) = A_g exp(-\frac{1}{2}(\widetilde{x} \qquad \widetilde{y}) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \gamma \\ \gamma & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \widetilde{x} \\ \widetilde{y} \end{pmatrix}) \tag{3-21}$$

where

$$\gamma = a_{11}^{-1/2} a_{12} a_{22}^{-1/2} \tag{3-22}$$

So the ground state wave function is

$$\psi(\widetilde{x},\widetilde{y}) = A_g e^{-\frac{\widetilde{x}^2}{2} - \frac{\widetilde{y}^2}{2} - \gamma\widetilde{x}\widetilde{y}} \tag{3-23}$$

Then we can use following identity to calculate the schmidt number of this wave function,

$$\frac{1}{\pi^{1/2}}exp(-\frac{1+t^2}{2(1-t^2)}((x)^2+(y)^2))+\frac{2t}{1-t^2}xy)=(1-t^2)^{1/2}\sum_n t^n\psi_n(x)\psi_n(y) \tag{3-24}$$

In order to calculating the entropy, we apply a change of variable as

$$1-t^2=\frac{2}{\nu+1}$$

$$t^2=\frac{\nu-1}{\nu+1}$$

So the above identity becomes

$$\frac{1}{\pi^{1/2}}exp(-\frac{\nu}{2}((x)^2+(y)^2))+(\nu^2-1)^{1/2}xy)=(\frac{2}{\nu+1})^{1/2}\sum_n(\frac{\nu-1}{\nu+1})^{n/2}\psi_n(x)\psi_n(y) \tag{3-25}$$

and the reduced density matrix is

$$\rho=\frac{2}{\nu+1}\sum_n(\frac{\nu-1}{\nu+1})^n|n\rangle\langle n| \tag{3-26}$$

the entropy is

$$S(\rho)=-\sum_n p_n log(p_n) \tag{3-27}$$

where $p_n=\frac{2}{\nu+1}(\frac{\nu-1}{\nu+1})^n$

$$\sum_n p_n log(p_n)=log(\frac{2}{\nu+1})+\langle n\rangle log(\frac{\nu-1}{\nu+1}) \tag{3-28}$$

and $\langle n\rangle=\frac{\nu-1}{2}$

$$S(\rho)=\frac{\nu+1}{2}log(\frac{\nu+1}{2})-\frac{\nu-1}{2}log(\frac{\nu-1}{2}) \tag{3-29}$$

By comparing the wave function Eq.(3-23) and the identity Eq.(3-25) and define the scale μ^2, we conclude that

$$\nu=1\times\mu^2$$

$$(\nu^2-1)^{1/2}=-\gamma\times\mu^2$$

After some straightforward calculation we obtain

$$\nu = (\frac{1}{1-\gamma^2})^{1/2} \tag{3-30}$$

By above discussion we conclude that

$$e^{-\frac{(x)^2}{2}-\frac{(y)^2}{2}-\gamma xy} = \sum_n \lambda_n \psi_n(x)\psi_n(y)$$

where $\lambda_n = (\frac{2}{\nu+1})^{1/2}(\frac{\nu-1}{\nu+1})^{n/2}$.

3.1 Hypercube network $H(d,2)$

The hypercube of dimension d (known also as binary Hamming scheme $H(d,2)$) is a network with 2^d nodes, each of which can be labeled by a d-bit binary string. Suppose x and y are words of d bits each. The Hamming distance $d(x,y)$ between x and y is defined to be the number of places at which x and y differ. Two nodes on the hypercube described by bit strings x and y are connected by an edge if $|x-y|=1$, where $|x|$ is the Hamming weight of x. The Hamming weight of a word x is defined to be the distance from the string of all zeros $d(x,0)$, that is, the number of places at which x is nonzero. In other words, if x and y differ by only a single bit flip, then the two corresponding nodes on the network are connected. Thus, each of the 2^d nodes on the hypercube has degree d. For the hypercube network with dimension d we have $d+1$ strata with

$$\kappa_i = \frac{d!}{i!(d-i)!} \qquad 0 \le i \le d-1 \tag{3-31}$$

Furthermore, the adjacency matrices of this network are given by

$$A_i = \sum_{perm} \underbrace{\sigma_x \otimes \sigma_x \ldots \otimes \sigma_x}_{i} \otimes \underbrace{I_2 \otimes \ldots \otimes I_2}_{d-i} \qquad i = 0,1,\ldots,d \tag{3-32}$$

where, the summation is taken over all possible nontrivial permutations. The first stratification basis for this graph is

$$|\phi_0\rangle = |00\ldots0\rangle$$

$$|\phi_1\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{C_1^d}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |0\ldots0\underbrace{1}_{i}0\ldots0\rangle$$

$$\vdots$$

$$|\phi_k\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{C_k^d}} \sum_{i_1\neq i_2\neq\ldots\neq i_k;i_1,\ldots,i_k=1}^{d} |0\ldots0\underbrace{1}_{i_1}0\ldots0\underbrace{1}_{i_2}0\ldots0\underbrace{1}_{i_k}0\ldots0\rangle$$

$$\vdots$$

$$|\phi_d\rangle = |11\ldots1\rangle \tag{3-33}$$

The stratification basis of this graph can be written in the basis of angular momentum by considering $J = d/2$, So

$$|Jm\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{n!}{n_0!n_1!}}} \sum |\underbrace{00\ldots0}_{n_0}\underbrace{11\ldots1}_{n_1}\rangle \tag{3-34}$$

where $n_0 + n_1 = 1$ and $m = \frac{n_0-n_1}{2}$. So for example the first stratum ($|00\ldots0\rangle$) is equivalent to the $|JJ\rangle$.

So the adjacency matrix in the first stratification basis, is the matrix representation of the first component of angular momentum $J = \frac{d}{2}$ as

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{d} & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ \sqrt{d} & 0 & \sqrt{2(d-1)} & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{2(d-1)} & 0 & \sqrt{3(d-2)} & \ldots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \ldots & \sqrt{2(d-1)} & 0 & \sqrt{d} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & \sqrt{d} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{3-35}$$

The above equation gives the first block of adjacency matrix in the stratification basis.

The other stratification basis which give the other blocks of adjacency matrix, may be obtained by applying the lowering operator to the $|JJ\rangle \equiv |00\ldots0\rangle$, So it will be in the form

$$\sum_i a_i |0\ldots0\underbrace{1}_{i}0\ldots0\rangle$$

The action of raising operator on the above state will be zero,So we have $\sum a_i = 0$ Therefore the number of degeneracy in second stratum is $C_1^d - C_0^d \equiv d-1$ The highest state in the second stratum is

$$|J = d/2-1, m = d/2-1\rangle_{k=1,\ldots,d-1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \sum_{l=0}^{d} w^{kl} |0\ldots0\underbrace{1}_{l}0\ldots0\rangle \tag{3-36}$$

The second block of adjacency matrix, is similarly the representation of first component of angular momentum matrix, but the dimension has decreased to $d' = d-2$ (i.e. $J = \frac{d-2}{2}$) and degeneracy is $d-1$. From the fourier transformation ($w^d = 1$), the sum of the coefficients in the above equation is zero, because

$$1 + w^k + (w^k)^2 + \ldots + (w^k)^{d-1} = 0$$

Now we want to construct the third block of adjacency matrix. If we apply the lowering operator on the Eq.(3-36), the result will be

$$\sum_{i_1 \neq i_2} a_{i_1 i_2} |0\ldots0\underbrace{1}_{i_1}0\ldots0\underbrace{1}_{i_2}0\ldots0\rangle \tag{3-37}$$

The number of coefficients $a_{i_1 i_2}$ in the above is C_2^d. The condition $\sum_{i_1 \neq i_2} a_{i_1 i_2} = 0$, produces $C_1^d = d$ equations, So the total degeneracy of the third stratum is $C_2^d - C_1^d$.

Table 1 show the angular momentum corresponding to the blocks of adjacency matrix and their degeneracies.

For example the Hypercube network $H(3,2)$ in FIG.1, has four strata. The vertex labeled 1 is in the first stratum, the vertices labeled $2,3,4$ are in the second stratum, the vertices labeled $5,6,7$ are in the third stratum and the vertex labeled 8 is in the fourth stratum. The first block of adjacency matrix in the stratification basis for this graph is obtained from Eq.(3-35) as

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{3} & 0 & 0 \\ \sqrt{3} & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & \sqrt{3} \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{3} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{3-38}$$

Adjacency matrix	degeneracy
$J=\frac{d}{2}$	C_0^d
$J=\frac{d-2}{2}$	$C_1^d-C_0^d$
$J=\frac{d-4}{2}$	$C_2^d-C_1^d$
$J=\frac{d-6}{2}$	$C_3^d-C_2^d$
$\vdots$	$\vdots$
$J=\sigma_x \quad d \quad odd$	$C^d_{\frac{d+1}{2}-1}-C^d_{\frac{d+1}{2}-2}$
singlet 0 $\quad d \quad even$	$C^d_{\frac{d}{2}}-C^d_{\frac{d}{2}-1}$

Table 1: The angular momentum corresponding to the blocks of adjacency matrix and their degeneracies.

The other blocks of adjacency matrix of $H(3,2)$ are obtained from Table 1 as

$$A=\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{3-39}$$

and its degeneracy is $C_1^3-C_0^3=2$. So the total adjacency matrix of $H(3,2)$ in the stratification basis is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \sqrt{3} & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & \sqrt{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{3-40}$$

3.1.1 Entanglement entropy in Hypercube network between two equal parts: First half and second half strata

Now we want to calculate bipartite entanglement between two equal parts of Hypercube network. First we consider the $H(d,2)$ network with odd d. The potential matrix is

$$V = (1+2gd)I_{(d+1)\times(d+1)} - 2gA_{(d+1)\times(d+1)} \tag{3-41}$$

where $A_{(d+1)\times(d+1)}$ is the adjacency matrix in the form of Eq.(3-35).

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} 1+2dg & -2g\sqrt{d} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ -2g\sqrt{d} & 1+2dg & -2g\sqrt{2(d-1)} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & -2g\sqrt{2(d-1)} & 1+2dg & -2g\sqrt{3(d-2)} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & -2g\sqrt{2(d-1)} & 1+2dg & -2g\sqrt{d} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & -2g\sqrt{d} & 1+2dg \end{pmatrix} \tag{3-42}$$

Then by using Schur complement method to the first two blocks and two last blocks, we have

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} 1+2dg & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1+2dg-\frac{4g^2d}{1+2dg} & -2g\sqrt{2(d-1)} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & -2g\sqrt{2(d-1)} & 1+2dg & -2g\sqrt{3(d-2)} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & -2g\sqrt{2(d-1)} & 1+2dg-\frac{4g^2d}{1+2dg} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1+2dg \end{pmatrix} \tag{3-43}$$

After applying the generalized Schur complement method to the potential matrix alternatively, we have one 2×2 matrix finally. The elements of this 2×2 matrix is

$$a_{12} = -2g\sqrt{(\frac{d+1}{2})(\frac{d+1}{2})} = -g(d+1)$$

$$a_{11} = a_{22} = 1 + 2dg - \cfrac{4g^2(\frac{d-1}{2})(\frac{d-3}{2})}{1 + 2dg - \cfrac{4g^2(\frac{d-3}{2})(\frac{d-5}{2})}{\vdots \cfrac{}{1+2dg - \cfrac{4g^2(2(d-1))}{1+2dg - \cfrac{4g^2 d}{1+2dg}}}}} \tag{3-44}$$

So from the Eq.(3-22) the parameter γ_1 (γ_i for ith stratum) will be

$$\gamma_1 = \cfrac{\frac{(d+1)}{2}}{d + \frac{1}{2g} - \cfrac{(\frac{d-1}{2})(\frac{d-3}{2})}{d+\frac{1}{2g} - \cfrac{(\frac{d-3}{2})(\frac{d-5}{2})}{\vdots \cfrac{}{d+\frac{1}{2g} - \cfrac{(2(d-1))}{d+\frac{1}{2g} - \cfrac{d}{d+\frac{1}{2g}}}}}}} \tag{3-45}$$

So the parameter γ_1 can be written as

$$\gamma_1 = \frac{d+1}{2}\frac{Q_{n-1}(x)}{Q_n(x)} \tag{3-46}$$

The parameter x defined as $x = d + \frac{1}{2g}$. The recursive polynomials $Q_n(x)$ are defined as

$$Q_1(x) = x \quad , \quad Q_2(x) = x^2 - \omega_1 x$$
$$Q_n(x) = xQ_{n-1}(x) - \omega_{n-1}Q_{n-2}(x), \qquad \omega_i = i(d-i+1), \qquad i = 1, 2, \ldots, \frac{d-1}{2} \tag{3-47}$$

for $n \geq 3$. We should apply this method to all potential matrices of all strata. So parameters ν_i are calculated from all of parameters γ_i from Eq.(3-30). Finally the entropy of entanglement of each strata, i.e. $S(\rho_i)$, is obtained from Eq.(3-29). So the total entropy is

$$S(\rho) = \sum_i S(\rho_i) \tag{3-48}$$

3.1.2 Entanglement entropy in Hypercube network between two equal parts: The connection matrix between two parts is Identity

Suppose that we divide the $H(d,2)$ graph into two equal parts, such that each of parts is another Hypercube graph with smaller dimension $H(d-1,2)$, and the connection matrix between two parts, is Identity matrix. So the adjacency matrix is

$$A_{H(d,2)} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{H(d-1,2)} & I_{2^{d-1}\times 2^{d-1}} \\ I_{2^{d-1}\times 2^{d-1}} & A_{H(d-1,2)} \end{pmatrix} \tag{3-49}$$

Then the potential matrix is

$$V_{H(d,2)} = \begin{pmatrix} (1+2dg)I - 2gA & -2gI \\ -2gI & (1+2dg)I - 2gA \end{pmatrix} \tag{3-50}$$

First we diagonalize the Matrix $(1+2dg)I-2gA$, by a local transformation. This transformation dosen't change the Identity matrix, so the result will be

$$V_{H(d,2)} = \begin{pmatrix} (1+2dg)I - 2gD & -2gI \\ -2gI & (1+2dg)I - 2gD \end{pmatrix} \tag{3-51}$$

where

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \lambda_{2^{d-1}} \end{pmatrix} \tag{3-52}$$

and λ_is are the eigenvalues of adjacency matrix of Hypercube network $H(d-1,2)$. The eigenvalues of adjacency matrices A_is are given from the Krawtchouk polynomials as

$$K_l(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{l} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d-x \\ l-i \end{pmatrix} (-1)^i \tag{3-53}$$

So the eigenvalues of adjacency matrix A_1 of graph Hypercube $H(d-1,2)$ are given from

$$K_1(i) = (d-1) - 2i \tag{3-54}$$

And the corresponding degeneracies are given from

$$n_i = \frac{(d-1)!}{2^{d-1} i!(d-1-i)!} \tag{3-55}$$

Then the parameter γ_i for Schmidt number is

$$\gamma_i = \frac{2g}{1+2g(d'+1-\lambda_i)} \tag{3-56}$$

Where the quantity $d'-\lambda_i$ is the Laplacian matrix of Hypercube $H(d',2) = H(d-1,2)$ This kind of partition in Hypercube networks, is equivalent to the minimum entanglement entropy.

3.1.3 Entanglement entropy in Hypercube network between two equal parts: even strata are in first subset and odd strata are in second subset

The entanglement entropy and Schmidt numbers are considered between two equal parts of hypercube network, that even strata are in first subset and odd strata are in second subset. In this kind of partitioning we should consider two cases as $d = odd$ and $d = even$.

Case I(d is odd): In this case the potential matrix can be written as following form:

$$V_{11} = V_{22} = I_{\frac{d+1}{2}\times\frac{d+1}{2}}(1 + 2gd) \tag{3-57}$$

and the connection matrix of potential matrix is:

$$V_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} -2g\sqrt{d} & 0 & 0 & ... & 0 \\ -2g\sqrt{2(d-1)} & -2g\sqrt{3(d-2)} & 0 & ... & 0 \\ 0 & -2g\sqrt{3(d-2)} & -2g\sqrt{4(d-3)} & ... & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & ... & 0 & -2g\sqrt{2(d-1)} & -2g\sqrt{d} \end{pmatrix}_{\frac{d+1}{2}\times\frac{d+1}{2}} \tag{3-58}$$

Therefore,we have $\frac{d+1}{2}$ parameters γ

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_1 &= \frac{2g}{1+2gd} \\ \gamma_2 &= \frac{(2+4)g}{1+2gd} \\ \gamma_3 &= \frac{(2+4\times 2)g}{1+2gd} \\ &\vdots \\ \gamma_{\frac{d+1}{2}} &= \frac{(2+4\frac{d-1}{2})g}{1+2gd} \end{aligned} \tag{3-59}$$

Case II(d is even): In this case the potential matrix can be written as following

$$V_{11} = I_{\frac{d}{2}+1\times\frac{d}{2}+1}(1 + 2gd) \tag{3-60}$$

$$V_{22} = I_{\frac{d}{2}\times\frac{d}{2}}(1+2gd) \tag{3-61}$$

and the connection matrix of potential matrix is

$$V_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} -2g\sqrt{d} & 0 & ... & 0 \\ -2g\sqrt{2(d-1)} & -2g\sqrt{3(d-2)} & ... & 0 \\ 0 & -2g\sqrt{3(d-2)} & ... & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & ... & -2g\sqrt{2(d-1)} & -2g\sqrt{d} \end{pmatrix}_{\frac{d}{2}\times\frac{d}{2}+1} \tag{3-62}$$

Therefore, we have $\frac{d}{2}$ parameters γ

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_1 &= \frac{4g}{1+2gd} \\ \gamma_2 &= \frac{(4+4)g}{1+2gd} \\ \gamma_3 &= \frac{(4+4\times 2)g}{1+2gd} \\ &\vdots \\ \gamma_{\frac{d}{2}} &= \frac{(4+4(\frac{d}{2}-1))g}{1+2gd} \end{aligned} \tag{3-63}$$

In the next section we will show that this kind of partitioning in Hypercube networks, gives the maximum entanglement entropy.

4 Numerical result for entanglement entropy

4.1 Entanglement entropy between all kinds of equal parts in Hypercube H(3,2) network

In this section we separated Hypercube $H(3,2)$ network into to equal parts, then calculated the entanglement entropy between all kinds of bisection.



FIG I: Hypercube $H(3,2)$ graph.

So there are $\frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 8 \\ \\ 4 \end{pmatrix} = 35$ kinds of partitioning graph into equal parts. These 35 kinds of partitioning only have 6 amount of entanglement entropy as shown in table II. The maximum entanglement entropy in this section is for subset: $(1,5,6,7)$. It is for the case that we choose the vertices of first, and third strata. The minimum entanglement entropy in this section are for subsets: $(1,2,3,7),(1,2,4,6),(1,3,4,5)$. These are the cases that the connection matrix between two equal parts is identity. In these cases there are the minimum number of edges between two equal parts.

4.2 Entanglement entropy between all kinds of equal parts in Hypercube H(4,2) network

In this section we separated Hypercube $H(4,2)$ network into to equal parts, then calculated the entanglement entropy between all kinds of bisection numerically.



In this case, there are 6435 kinds of partitioning in a way that two parts have equal vertices. Numerical calculations show that some of these 6435 sets have the same entropy, such that

set	partitions
1	$(1,5,6,7)$
2	$(1,2,3,8),(1,2,4,8),(1,2,5,6),(1,2,5,7)$ $(1,3,4,8),(1,3,5,6),(1,3,6,7),(1,4,5,7)$ $(1,4,6,7),(1,5,6,8),(1,5,7,8),(1,6,7,8)$
3	$(1,2,5,8),(1,3,6,8),(1,4,7,8)$
4	$(1,2,3,5),(1,2,3,6),(1,2,4,5),(1,2,4,7)$ $(1,2,6,8),(1,2,7,8),(1,3,4,6),(1,3,4,7)$ $(1,4,5,8),(1,3,5,8),(1,3,7,8),(1,4,6,8)$
5	$(1,2,3,4),(1,2,6,7),(1,3,5,7),(1,4,5,6)$
6	$(1,2,3,7),(1,2,4,6),(1,3,4,5)$

Table 2: All equal subsets in $H(3,2)$. The entanglement entropy for these subsets are $S_1 > S_2 > S_3 > S_4 > S_5 > S_6$.

there are 55 kinds of different values for entanglement entropies. The number of copies of these 55 sets are $1, 4(2), 6, 12, 16, 24(2), 32(4), 48(8), 72, 96(12), 192(20), 384(2)$. The maximum entanglement entropy in this section is for subset: $(1, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 16)$. It is for the case that we choose the vertices of first, third and last strata. In this case there are the maximum edges between two equal parts. The minimum entanglement entropy in this section are for subsets:

$$(1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 9, 12), (1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 8, 10, 13), (1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8, 11, 14), (1, 3, 4, 5, 9, 10, 11, 15)$$

These are the cases that the connection matrix between two equal parts is identity. In these cases there are the minimum number of edges between two parts.

5 Conclusion

The entanglement entropy is obtained between two parts in the hypercube networks which their nodes are considered as quantum harmonic oscillators. The generalized Schur complement method is used to calculate the Schmidt numbers and entanglement entropy between two parts of hypercube graph. Analytically, entanglement entropy in three special partitioning are calculated.

we investigated some kinds of partitioning in Hypercube network. So, the ordering of entanglement entropies for these partitioning are given. By using this result, we can conjecture which partitioning have the minimum and maximum entanglement entropy.

One expects that the entanglement entropy and Schmidt numbers can be calculated in Hamming networks.

References

[1] M. A. Nielsen and I. L. Chuang, Quantum Computation and Quantum Information, (Cambridge Univ. Press, 2000).

[2] A. Peres, Quantum Theory: Concepts and Methods, (Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1993).

[3] J. Preskill, Quantum Computation, (1997).

[4] D. Bouwmeester, A. Ekert, and A. Zeilinger (Eds.), The Physics of Quantum Information, (Springer, Berlin, 2000).

[5] G. Alber, R. Beth, M. Horodecki, P. Horodecki, R. Horodecki, M. Rotteler, H. Weinfurter, R. Werner, and A. Zeilinger (Eds.), Quantum Information, (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001).

[6] L. E. Ballentine, Am. J. Phys. 55, 785 (1986).

[7] R. Horodecki, P. Horodecki, M. Horodecki, K. Horodecki, Rev. Mod. Phys. 81:865-942, (2009).

[8] M. B. Plenio, S. Virmani, Quantum Inf. Comput. 7, 1 (2007).

[9] M. J. Donald, M. Horodecki, and O. Rudolph, J. Math. Phys. 43, 42524272 (2002).

[10] J. Sperling, W. Vogel, Phys. Scr. 83, 045002 (2011).

[11] Y. Guo and H. Fan, Quant-ph: 1304.1950 (2013).

[12] J. M. Matera, R. Rossignoli, and N. Canosa, Phys. Rev. A 86, 062324 (2012).

[13] G. Adesso, S. Ragy, A. R. Lee, Open Syst. Inf. Dyn. 21, 1440001 (2014).

[14] O. Cernotk and J. Fiurek, Phys. Rev. A 89, 042331 (2014).

[15] G. Adesso and S. Piano, Phys. Rev. Lett 112, 010401 (2014).

[16] F. Nicacio and M. C. de Oliveira, Phys. Rev. A 89, 012336 (2014).

[17] D. Buono, G. Nocerino, S. Solimeno and A. Porzio, Laser Phys. 24 074008 (2014).

[18] A. Cardillo, F. Galve, D. Zueco, J. G. Gardenes, Phys. Rev. A 87, 052312 (2013).

[19] M.A. Jafarizadeh, S. Salimi, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 3913295 (2006).

[20] M.A.Jafarizadeh, S.Nami, F.Eghbalifam, Quant-ph: 1407.4044(2014).